IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT APPEAL NO : 738 of 2005

AND

W.P.NO. 8736 OF 2004.

WRIT APPEAL NO. 738 OF 2005:

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 07/09/2004 in WP NO : 8736 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

Miss. K. Rajya Laxmi, D/o. K. Rajeswara Rao,
Chandalavada Vari Street, Bapatla, Guntur District.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1 The Sub Registrar, Kothagudem, Khammam District.
2 Md. Abdul Waheed, S/o. Md. Asgar Ahmed, Kaviraj Nagar, Yellandu Cross Road, Khammam.

.....RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION NO : 8736 of 2004

Between:

Miss. K.Raja Laxmi D/o K.Rajeswara Rao
Chadalavada Vari Street, Bapatla, Guntur Dist

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Sub Registrar, Kothagudem Khammam Dist
2 Md. Abdul Waheed S/o Md. Asgar Ahmed
Kaviraj Nagar, Yellandu Cross Road, Khammam

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or direction declaring the Marriage Certificate bearing No.250879 HM No.1/2004 dt. 3/01/2004 issued by the first respondent, the Sub Registrar, Kothagudem, as arbitrary, illegal and in violation of provisions of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and the Rules made thereunder, and issue a consequential direction directing the second respondent not to use the said Certificate in any manner whatsoever, against the petitioner and not to claim in any manner whatsoever, that he had married the Petitioner.

Counsel for the appellant/petitioner : KUM.C.SINDHU KUMARI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the respondent No.2: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

(per Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice)

……

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he has received instructions to withdraw the writ appeal along with writ petition. Accordingly he makes a prayer. Prayer is allowed. Writ appeal along with writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The appellant – petitioner is at liberty, however, to seek appropriate redressal of her grievances from appropriate forum, if available in law. No costs.

_____

BILAL NAZKI. ACJ.,

_____

DR. G. YELTHIRAJULU. J.,

Dated: 11.04.2005.

VRK.

To

1 The Sub Registrar, Kothagudem, Khammam District.
2. Two CCs to G.P., for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

3. Two CD copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WA {**RLD**}*

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**GR**}*

HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI,

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU.

W.A.NO. 738 OF 2005

AND

W.P.NO.8736 OF 2004.

(Order of the Bench delivered by

Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice)

Dt: 11.04.2005.

-